ETHzürich

Exploring fault preparation and earthquake nucleation from the laboratory

¹Swiss Seismological Service, ETH Zurich; ²Institute of Geophysics, ETH Zurich; ³Earth Observatory of Singapore, Nanyang Technological University; ⁴Asian School of the Environment, Nanyang Technological University; ⁵University of Applied Sciences of Eastern Switzerland, Rapperswil; ⁶Geological Institute, ETH Zurich

Introduction

The initiation of unstable fault slip leading to earthquakes involves intricate physical processes and interactions. Investigations at both field and laboratory scales have highlighted the existence of **spatio-temporal** variations in seismic or aseismic observations near the epicenter of a major seismic event^[1,2].

These variations are often associated with the **preparatory phase of major earthquakes** and are believed to involve processes resulting from progressive localization of deformation, around the eventual rupture zone, that accelerates leading up to failure. However, the time and spatial scales of this behavior are not well understood due to our lack of understanding into the physical mechanisms within the preparatory zones.

2 Material and methods

We perform a triaxial test on a sample of Berea sandstone. 16 piezo-electric transducers (PZTs) are used **passively** to detect **acoustic emissions** (AEs) and **actively** to construct a **P-wave velocity model**. **Distributed strain sensing** (DSS) with **optical fibers** is employed to measure axial and circumferential strain (Fig. 1).

We conduct simulations using **H-MEC**^[3], which is a 2D fully coupled and continuum based seismo-hydro-mechanical poro-visco-elasto-plastic numerical modeling code (Fig. 2). We track the dissipation of me**chanical energy**, which is related to **irreversible processes** consuming strain energy: $D = \sigma'_{ii}$. $\dot{\epsilon}'_{ii}$



Figure '

The following **protocol** is used:

- a **confining pressure** (blue line, Fig. 3) of **20 MPa** is applied to the sample and is kept constant,
- differential stress (red line, Fig. 3) is increased with a constant piston displacement rate (0.33 µm/s) until the failure of the sample is reached with associated major stress drop.









Schweizerischer Erdbebendienst Service Sismologique Suisse Servizio Sismico Svizzero Swiss Seismological Service

Patrick Bianchi¹, P. A. Selvadurai¹, L. Dal Zilio^{2,3,4}, A. Salazar Vásquez^{1,5}, C. Madonna⁶, T. Gerya², S. Wiemer¹

3 Laboratory Results

We observe the formation of two clusters of AEs at the top and bottom of the sample (Fig. 4) during approximately the entire test. Shortly (<5 min) before the macrofracture nucleation, the AEs localize on one side of the bottom half of the sample. Then, the macrofracture nucleates and propagates upwards.

The last interpolated DSS measurement of circumferential strain (Fig. 5) shows deformation localization spatially correlating with the last cluster of AEs that anticipated the nucleation of the macrofracture.

By repeatedly pulsing from each PZT sensor, we construct P-wave velocity models and investigate their **spatial** variations (Fig. 6). Central regions of the sample experience a stronger velocity decrease significantly earlier than the macrofracture nucleation. However, **no seismic activity** is detetced there before failure (Fig. 4).



We build a binary mask that isolate regions of the sample with **high dissipative levels** (Fig. 7) to track **irrever**sible deformation in the sample. The simulations reveal three distinct stages of preparatory processes:

1) highly dissipative fronts propagate towards the middle of the sample correlating with the observed **AE locations** (Fig. 7, left)

2) dissipative regions are individuated in the middle of the sample and could be linked to the discernible decrease of the P-wave velocities (Fig. 7, middle)

3) a system of **conjugate bands** form, coalesce into a single band that grows from the center towards the sample surface and is interpreted to be due to the **preparation of a weak plane** (Fig.7, right).



Figure 7









The mean volumetric strain rate and AE rate temporally correlate and are both indicative for a **preparatory process** prior to the sample failure (Fig. 8, top). The increased seismic activity could be caused by the localization of deformation.

The **simulated dissipation** also shows an **abrupt** increase prior to failure (Fig. 8, bottom). The cause of this increase might be related to a **preparatory** process also responsible for the acceleration of the volumetric strain and AE rates. The model appears to capture this accelerated behavior.





10 mm Figure 9 -20 -10 0 10 20 Vol. strain rate, [µɛ/s]

6 Conclusions

This study investigated both aseismic and seismic preparatory processes linked to strain localization preceding rock failure. By combining laboratory measurements and numerical simulations, we were able to capture a large variations of processes leading up to the nucleation of the shear fracture. **Developing** models that capture a range of behaviors at various scales, including the laboratory, is a necessary step to properly upscale research efforts to the reservoir and field scales.

References

[1] Kato, A., & Ben-Zion, Y. (2021). The generation of large earthquakes. Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 2, 26-39. doi: 10.1038/s43017-020-00108-w [2] Campillo, M., & Paul, A. (2003). Long-Range Correlations in the Diffuse Seismic Coda. Science, 299 (5606), 547-549. doi: 10.1126/science.107855 [3] Dal Zilio, L., Hegyi, B., Behr, W., & Gerya, T. (2022). Hydro-mechanical earthquake cycles in a poro-visco-elasto-plastic fluid-bearing fault structure. Tectonophysics. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2022.229516

The analysis presented in this poster is pulished as: (2024). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00603-024-04025-v







Volumetric strain rate localization is also observed **numerically** (Fig. 9) and spatio-temporally correlates with the laboratory observations. This process is observed as soon as the weak plane approaches the sample surface and interacts with it.

Due to our choice of using H-MEC in a quasi-static manner, our numerical results are deemed reliable only up to the onset of fracture nucleation; dynamic propagation is not currently considered in this investigation.